



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/177,902	10/23/1998	DAVID A. HOLMAN	E-1658	3711

7590 03/29/2002
DOUGLAS E. MCKINLEY, JR.
PO BOX 202
RICHLAND, WA 99352

EXAMINER

CINTINS, IVARS C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1724

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2002

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/177,902

Applicant(s)

Holman et al.

Examiner

Ivars Cintins

Art Unit

1724



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 20, 2002
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The use of a rod and column chamber which are constructed and arranged such that the matrix material is packed and unpacked by rotating either the rod or the column chamber with respect to the other (see page 8, line 21; page 12, line 10; and page 13, line 18, of the specification) whereby the surface area of the rod is always in contact with the fluid (see page 9, lines 2-4, of the specification) appears to be critical and essential to the practice of the invention. Since this feature has not been recited in claims 1-5 and 8-17, these claims are not enabled by the disclosure. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1-5 and 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-5 and 8-17 fail to recite the apparently essential limitation that the matrix material is packed and unpacked by rotating either the rod or the column chamber with respect to the other such that the surface area of the rod is always in contact with the fluid; and therefore, these claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Johncox et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,750,707). Applicant has admitted that column based separations employing a valve member comprising a moveable solid rod are known in the art (see Figs. 4a and 4b). Accordingly, claims 1-17 appear to differ from these

Art Unit: 1724

admittedly known separation techniques by the use of a valve member having a rod with a "binary" end. Johncox et al discloses controlling fluid with a valve of the type recited (see Figs. 6 and 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the valve member of Johncox et al for the moveable valve member of the admittedly known system depicted in Figs. 4a and 4b, since this reference valve member is capable of controlling material flow through a column chamber in substantially the same manner as the valve member of the admittedly known system, to produce substantially the same results. Applicant should note that one of ordinary skill in the fluid treatment art would appropriately size the valve member of the thus modified admittedly known system such that it blocks the flow of matrix material to the outlet in a first position, permits the flow of matrix material to the outlet in a second position, and allows fluid flow to the outlet in all positions, since the admittedly known system depicted in Figs. 4a and 4b clearly requires such a function (see page 7, lines 16-18 of the specification).

Krambrock (U.S. Patent No. 4,836,250) discloses a similar valve element.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins

Serial Number: 09/177,902

Page 5


Art Unit: 1724

whose telephone number is (703) 308-3840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

The fax phone numbers for this art unit are: (703) 872-9311 for "Official" faxes after Final Rejection; (703) 872-9310 for all other "Official" faxes; and (703) 872-9492 for "Draft" and other "Unofficial" faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

I. Cintins
March 22, 2002


Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724